



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

LEGAL DEPARTMENT
RAZA MICROELECTRONICS, INC.
18920 FORGE DRIVE
CUPERTINO CA 95014

COPY MAILED

SEP 21 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Addepalli Sateesh Kumar et al :
Application No. 10/023,958 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: December 17, 2001 :
Attorney Docket No. RN1-001-6P :

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed February 5, 2007, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed, January 13, 2006, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. No extensions of time were obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Accordingly, the application became abandoned on April 14, 2006. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on August 22, 2006.

The petition satisfies the requirements of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that petitioner has supplied (1) the required reply in the form of an amendment, (2) the petition fee of \$1,500, and (3) a proper statement of unintentional delay. Accordingly, the failure to timely reply to the non-final Office action of January 13, 2006 is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have firsthand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997); 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63,

103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the petition was ever given a power of attorney to prosecute the application. If the person signing the petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney document must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until appropriate instructions are received. Petitioner is advised that, if the power of attorney is by an assignee, compliance with 37 CFR 3.73(b) must be satisfied.

This application is being referred to Technology Center AU 2616 for appropriate action in the normal course of business on the reply received February 5, 2007.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3218.



Frances Hicks
Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

cc:

David R. Stevens
Stevens Law Group
P. O. Box 1667
San Jose, CA 95109